

# Sophisticated Writers Make Better HF Managers

Stockholm (HedgeNordic) – Paying close attention to individual words and syntax in a hedge fund’s strategy description can offer useful insights for the hedge fund selection process, according to a new paper. The study shows that hedge funds with lexically diverse strategy descriptions deliver superior risk-adjusted returns, manage risk more carefully, and encounter fewer regulatory problems.

The paper by Juha Joenväärä, Jari Karppinen, Melvyn Teo, and Cristian Tiu finds that two measures of text sophistication from the linguistics literature, namely lexical diversity and syntactic complexity, “encapsulates useful information for hedge fund selection and for understanding the factors underlying alpha generation.” Lexical diversity – the inclination of writers to use multiple synonyms rather than repeated words – has been associated with cognitive ability and honesty. On the other hand, syntactic complexity – the inclination to favour complicated sentences characterized by heavy use of subordination – has been linked to deceptive behaviour.

“It’s somewhat surprising because strategy descriptions aren’t something you expect to be that informative,” Cristian Tiu told Institutional Investor in a phone interview. “They’re written once in the beginning of a fund’s life and the hedge fund could hire a marketing firm to write a description for them.”

According to the study, hedge funds with high lexical diversity outperform funds with low lexical diversity by 3.6 percent per year. Extensive vocabularies were associated with higher returns even after adjusting for other possible sources of outperformance related to writing ability such as a manager’s education. Furthermore, hedge funds with lexically diverse strategy descriptions exhibit “several additional attributes that are attractive to fund investors.”

First, these hedge funds deliver superior Sharpe ratios, information ratios and manipulation-proof performance measures. Second, lexically diverse funds manage risk more “judiciously,” as they “eschew idiosyncratic risk and tail risk.” As a result, they feature lower residual volatilities, maximum monthly losses, and maximum drawdowns. Third, these funds face fewer regulatory actions, encounter fewer civil or criminal problems, and trigger fewer investment violations. Lexical diversity predicts fund quality, according to the paper, with these findings supporting “the view that lexical diversity is associated with cognitive ability and trustworthiness in the hedge fund arena.”

The four authors also find that syntactic complexity is associated with deception at hedge funds. “Funds whose strategy descriptions are syntactically complex experience more regulatory actions, violate more investment rules, and report more severe infractions, than do funds whose strategy descriptions are syntactically simple,” writes the paper. Interestingly, syntactic complexity is positively related to returns and alpha when not controlling for lexical diversity, but “those relations are statistically insignificant and turn negative after controlling for lexical diversity.”

The authors also find that investors allocate more capital to funds with lexically diverse strategy descriptions compared to hedge funds with complex syntax. In conclusion, the results of this study “indicate that the richness of the vocabulary employed by delegated portfolio managers provides an honest cue to investor sophistication. However, the complexity of the sentence structures used is less reliable as a signal of fund quality.”

The complete study can be downloaded below:

Text Sophistication and Sophisticated Investors

John Jermier, Jari Karpinen, Melvyn Tan, and Cristian Tiu\*

**Abstract**

We show that two novel measures of text sophistication, applied to hedge fund strategy descriptions, encapsulate incremental information about funds. Consistent with the literature literature, hedge funds with relatively diverse strategy descriptions outperform, achieve less risk, and experience fewer regulatory problems. In line with the literature, hedge funds with systematically negative strategy descriptions report more regulatory violations and trigger more severe sanctions. Fund business strategies like technology and closed form accordingly fail not enough to evade any the impact of negatively diverse funds. Our findings suggest that text sophistication measures provide evidence on the cognitive ability and treatment issues of sophisticated investors.

\*Jermier is an Associate Professor, School of Business, Johns University and Center for Financial Policy, Smith School of Business, University of Maryland. Email: john.jermier@jhu.edu. Karpinen is an Assistant Professor, Accounting and Finance, University of Guelph. Email: j.karpinen@uoguelph.ca. The corresponding author is at the Lee Kong Chian School of Business, Singapore Management University. Address: 90 Stamford Road, Singapore 178889. Email: jermier@smu.edu.sg. Tan: jtan@smu.edu.sg. Tiu: jtian@smu.edu.sg. This is an Associate Professor, School of Management, University of British Columbia Okanagan. We have benefited from conversations with George Alogoskoufis, George Constantin, Stefan Hurn, Thomas Fuchs, Paul Jorion, David Karpman, Oleg Korotkiy, Ben Kuan, John Lough, Ming Long, Mark McInerney, Alexander Nishchik (2018-2019), Peter Schmitt, Scott Soderstrom, Frank Walter, Ross Watson, Chongqing Yu (2018-2019), and Yulia Wang as well as seminar participants at Johns University, York University, Singapore Management University, the 11th Pacific Edge Fund Conference, the Global Funds, Hedge Funds, and Asset Investing Conference at Lancaster University, the Future Markets Board of Governors, the 2018 Global Investment Conference in Phoenix in Guangdong, China, and the 2018 European Finance Association Meetings in Luxembourg, Portugal. We are also grateful to Barbara Brinkmann of Macquarie, Trading Services for her help with the various measures of text sophistication and to Eitan Karpman for providing research assistance.

Photo by Aaron Burden on Unsplash